ARMY WAR COLLEGE

WASHINGTON

8th July, 1916.

Dear Mr Buxton,

You asked me a very interesting question the other day, and on the spur of the moment I did not formulate a clear reply. It was this - how should a war end so as to discredit the military party of the enemy and at the same time avoid the humiliation which so often results in inculcating the spirit of revenge in the defeated nation. Bismarck struggled with this problem, and apparently changed his mind as to the proper solution between 1866 and 1371. In 1866 he refused to press the war and enter Vienna after Sadowa. He made peace without humiliating Austria, while at the same time the results of the war discredited the Austrian military party in the eyes of their people. In 1871 he humiliated France - the march through Paris, one thing, attempted to partially bleed her white by an enormous indemnity, and later tried to divert her military force by encouraging her to establish a colonial empire. 1914 would seem to show that the first solution was the best from Prussia's point of view.

I should say that the solution must lie between the two limits below
Minimum limit- A situation at the close of the war which would tend to convince
the people of the defeated nation that they cannot rely wholly or entirely on
their military strength to accomplish what are commonly spoken of as national
ambitions, or even to insure the safty of the country if the tendency to war of
the military party is not curbed.

Maximum limit- A termination of the war which, by restraint in the matter of humiliations imposed on the defeated nation, tends to limit as far as possible the natural tendency towards the inculcation of a spirit of revenge. If deep humiliation is imposed, the dissatisfied element in the defeated nation will feel that they have been more agrieved by their foreign enemy than by the

ARMY WAR COLLEGE

the military party of their own nation, and they will bury the hatchet with the

latter in their hate for the former.

Also the former were the former with the wars of 1866 and 1913 (Second Balkan) were examples of which fell more or less hapily between the two limits above, and that the Franco-Prussian wars of 1806 and 1870-71 were glaring examples of the unfortunate results of oversteping the maximum limit. I do not think there has been any good example of the failure to reach the minimum limit (dicrediting the military party), because the interplay between popular opinion and the military party is a growth of recent years.

With best regards,

Sincerely yours,

P.S. Of course you will understand that I write this for your use only. You do not agree with me, and I dare say you are right; but I thought that if I wrote out my idea it might be of some use to you as a foil for your own.

She,

Herman miles

P.S. I have just read over a part of the M.S. I had typed for you. The woman made many mistakes, and you may not be able to read it clearly. You should also remember that the chapters were written at different times, and some of them under the assumption that the present war was over, with an Allied victory - a simple matter of forseeing what will happen, I hope. But I am sorry the M.S. is so jumbled and uncorrected.



RICE HOTEL



Houston. Texas, 8th October, 1916.

HOMER D. MATTHEWS

Dear Mr Buxton,

Lord Bryce's advocacy of American help in the settlement of the war made me think of you again the other day. How are your ideas working out? It really seems now that the end of the war was in sight, and that your work in the settlement, especially in the settlement of that difficult Balkan problem, must soon come into play. I certainly wish you all success.

I do hope you English are not going to let that Turk stay in Europe. He is the root of all evil. The Austrian menace, and even Prussianism, will probably cure themselves, as a result of this war - but so long as Mr Turk stays in Europe there is no real hope of peace.

What do you think of the President's new advacacy of a Peace League, to which the U.S. must belong? The idea is growing over here, and we are getting less and less provincial every day, but still we have a long

HOMER D. MATTHEWS

RICE HOTEL



HOUSTON, TEXAS,

way to go, and until we get over

being fat and defenceless and "holier

than thou" we wont have much weight in the world. It really rests with the Allies to form the League.

What did you think of my manuscript? Was it any help to you at all? I wish some day you would write me frankly about it, and where and when (if at all) you think I should publish it, revised.

I have had a busy summer. Got a lieutenant colonelcy of a regiment raised by Yale University, and spent the summer in training. I now go back to my own regiment, the 3d Field Artillery, at Largdo, Texas, as a captain.

My best congratulations to your people on their splendid success of this summer. May they keep it up, and do still more, especially in the Balkans.

Yours sincerely

Shorman miles

fait miles. 77 for 2/0. Iran hort V Duxton-I &r lumed lar hyhi to find your interest of Dapie, mith which I agres in francestole. W. han two Jerus nan pois on how, in Sursper & un aria, Mu'de no ous Except the Sui li tours is - brigands

which the war bradus are having singularly little success to Thors Their wary profile. YVE Thould certainly smaller Lone thing of This colosed Rehibition of The fulifity Juan () I will try to assample
your vioit with Sur Wells bui de far Scotting is Humon of his plans herr. Your Sincenty Surgilles

Jen Miles

ARMY SERVICE FORCES

HEADQUARTERS FIRST SERVICE COMMAND

BOSTON 15, MASSACHUSETTS

25 November 1943

Lord Noel-Buxton Flat No. 402, Hood House Dolphin Square, S.W.I. London, England

My dear Lord Noel-Buxton:

Thank you very much indeed for your note of November 13th which was forwarded to me here. Unfortunately, I fear there is little chance of my returning to England during this war, much as I would like to do so.

I am very sorry to hear of the death of your brother.
Please accept my sincere sympathy. I remember him well on our trip
to Petric in 1914.

I read with great interest the article you sent me. I think you are entirely right in holding that the solution of the post-war problem does not lie in long occupation of Germany by considerable forces, and certainly not in the gigantic task of reeducating Germany. We must concentrate on the simple objective of preventing war. You remember that Clausewitz laid down the doctrine that war is "a mere continuation of policy by other means." I see no way of preventing the Germans and the Japanese from continuing their power policies and will to conquest, but I do clearly see the possibility of preventing their use of war as one of the "other means" to that end. I believe the solution lies, in general terms, in the maintenance by the United Nations of air and sea power, based on well defended strategic points of the world, and adequate to bring irresistible pressure on any nation that is accumulating the large reserves necessary for military conquest. This will require singleness of purpose. I very much hope we will not be diverted from that purpose by any of the grandiose plans you so ably attack in your article.

With best regards,

Sincerely yours,

SHERMAN MILES

Major General, United States Army