BOOK REVIEWS - Am. Jour. of the Med. Sciences - famed for them

1885

in those days.

The one on "Recent Works on Practice" is of interest as forestalling his own

famous volume.

In a review, written in 1881, we remarked upon the paucity of American textbooks of medicine, and upon the modesty of the sixty-five professors of "Theory % Practice," who for nearly twenty years had left the field in possession of foreign authors, with whom Wood and Flint alone competed. The example set by Dr. Bartholow, in 1881, was soon followed by Dr. Palmer, of Michigan; and now we have placed at the head of the list two new candidates for professional favor, which we propose to introduce to our readers. ..

Pr. Davis's therapeutics are most consoling in these days of general scepticism. Art with him is everything; Nature as understood by Holmes and others "not merely a fanciful goddess, but a positive hindrance to the advancement of practicla medicine."

VIt has been said that the climate of Chicago is unfavorable to careful proofreading. We do not wish to be too critical, but there are a FHW errors which spoil one's pleasure in reading.

* * * * * * * * * * *

by Mallan S. Davis, by alfred L. Loomis by John S. Bris Towne Il Three often had had affect start befor his Boon Peris in The animar Journe of Medical Sumer, some 89 1.175"

(and the Sours in best.