



copy of letter by Professor Macintosh, of Oxford.  
- The Lancet of 28th July 1945.

"The poisonous reputation which has always been attached to curare has certainly retarded its clinical use in this country. The editorial and the articles on this drug in your last issue raise the question "Is the use of curare in anaesthesia justified?" - and I venture to predict that the answer will reveal a discrepancy of opinion between clinicians and their colleagues in the laboratory.

Profound relaxation of the abdominal wall for upper abdominal surgery is achieved only at some cost, be it the sequelae of profound general anaesthesia, of spinal anaesthesia, or of large volumes of local anaesthetics. The question at issue is whether better after-results are not realised by light general anaesthesia reinforced by curare to provide the relaxation necessary for the surgeon to operate at his ease. The experience of Dr. Mushin and myself confirms that of Griffith, in that our use of curare in over 100 cases has been most encouraging. The muscular relaxation equals that afforded by spinal anaesthesia, and the general well-being of the patient afterwards has been striking, even in these days when good results are taken as a matter of course.

A word of warning. We have been using, through the courtesy of Messrs. Burroughs Wellcome & Co., a supply of curarine chloride. The dose of this alkaloid is much less than that of the "active curare substance" in 'Intocostrin' (Squibb) reported by transatlantic colleagues. For instance, in a series of upper abdominal operations, which include gastrectomies, cholecystectomies and colectomies, we have never exceeded 70 mg. of curarine chloride, whereas Griffith administers 100 mg. "active curare substance" almost as a routine. For lower abdominal operations our dose of curare is of the order of 30 mg."