

above I don't
care in the least how
they arrange it, so I beg
you want to "arrange"
or trouble yourself
about it at

all. SMD
28 March 1883
Gerr

Dear Father,

Your excellent spoke
to me today about seven
collections of Quaternary group rocks
which it was proposed to ~~put~~
put together from the College.
I had heard nothing yet, this
object was to know how
much good to cover, & I presumed
to mention it to you in writing.
You might tell me know if there
are any special considerations.

I doubt her that it would
 meet the bayonet of Selwyn
 does not agree, with what you
 wrote in Logan's biography.

He is I am sure quite
 at liberty to report it wherever
 he likes, & express his
 own unprejudiced views.

The matter of survey reorganization
 I report to say does not trouble
 me at all. A few days

ago Mr. Phoenix sent down
 a private note to Dr. S. stating
 that a mistake had been made, &

all
 can
 the

that we were to have 1 2nd
 & Chief Clerks, the 3 remaining
 being simply 1st class clerks.
 Although they came reluctantly
 back to his idea of working
 we said all. This of

would cause several Cain
 in the establishment, particularly
 as it would have been
 impossible to obtain any
 annual
 increase of salary in the
 1st class clerk rank, that already
 given being the maximum. Personally
 this arrangement would have
 suited me well enough, as it

4

would have been an acknowledgment
of priority, & practically, whatever they
called me, a promotion. Dr S. has
now, however, spent himself
in making a new attack on
Mr. Plover to substitute the
old four-fold arrangement, of which
I am in doubt - Bell, Williams & Hoffmann
are all in favor as voting of
them was to be selected as Dr S.'s
deputy. Under the circumstances
the little incumbent director as
applied to 4 people is a perfect farce
& always has been in my opinion,
& the arrangement of having 4 people
of equal authority, in the only
case in which my direction is needed
(viz. the absence of the head) is identically
unworkable. However, as I said