

(Monday)

Paris, May 15 '76.

William

My dear Father

I called the other day at the Louvre to see if I could ascertain anything further in regard to the Moabite stone. It is in the Jewish Collection, and much the larger part of the face is the original stone. I called at the Office of the Administration, & learn that the stone has been photographed, but that copies cannot be had; the photograph being taken for the purpose of getting a lithograph executed from it, which is to appear in an illustrated Catalogue of the Jewish Collection. This catalogue is but a pamphlet, and will shortly be published by Morques Frères (Imprimeurs)

58 rue Jean-Jacques Rousseau. It is entitled till
simply "Catalogue du Musée juif." I was late
also told that M. sieur (somebody) ganot line
"who discovered the stone" is going to pub-
lish an account of it soon. I did not be
enquire so particularly about this as D to
I thought it would be easy to ascertain pac
anything that might appear in that I ho
way. 10 Jc

I am still continuing to make later
my arrangements for leaving Liverpool how
on the 25th as decided on. I intend
leaving here Monday about noon & going on
by Havre & Southampton as it is the "note
most comfortable, if one has to travel was
at night; & first class by it does not var
cost so much as second by Boulogne the
or Calais. The Dieppe route is out of Tru
the question, as according to the tides at for
present, one arrives late ~~at night at~~ (about over
11 or 12 P.M.) at Dieppe, & does not leave of T

ntilled till about of next morning, getting to London
was late in the afternoon. There is no day
not line traffic yet by that route.

I learn from Prof: Husley that he will
be in London on the 18th for a few days
D that he will see that the things are
packed up ready for me. I will therefore,
I hope, be able to leave for L'pool by the
10 1/2 Train Wednesday, or at 12 at the
latest; both of which run through in five
hours.

Our geology examination came off
on Friday. Prof: Bayle gives very generous
"notes" judging from the results. The least
was 70. He asked me about the different
varieties of Feldspar, and to describe
the Silurian formation. It was for-
tunate for me he chose one of the ancient
formations, as the Mesozoic + Tertiary
over here occupy much the larger part
of the course, & consist of a mass of

isolated sections + descriptions which it
is next to impossible to connect in one's
mind, or get any general idea out of:
especially for a person who has not the
good fortune of being acquainted with
all the villages in France. I remember
his giving us a rather absurd & incred-
ulous account of Bazouin, his latest
information on the subject dating from
the Exposition of 1867. Perhaps you might
think of which of your works would be
best calculated to give him some light
on the subject, as it is a pity he should be
left in the dark. But I find myself
giving a very one-sided account of his
course; I will leave the obverse for another
occasion. He seemed satisfied with my
answers, however, as I find myself down
for 18. Mirnesco got 14, Lintowski 15 and
Lemaire 16.

I remain, your affectionate son

William.