

ROXBOROUGH HOUSE,

STOKE UPON TRENT.

Oct 30 1894

Dear Sir William Dawson,

I have been very delatoy in  
answering your kind letters but I trust  
that you got the packet of preprints with  
care safely to hand. I send you  
with regard to *Marshallia* variety of  
*Naiadite Carbonaria*, there is no reason  
why you should not give it the name  
*var. longis* you suggest. You of course  
have seen many specimens than I, and  
in a genus the species of which are so very  
variable that it is hard to say on what  
point are of specific value, I was unwilling  
to erect species on single specimens. It  
might be well before deciding on a new  
name to compare the specimens with *Angellina*  
\* *Swallowi* McKerney. *Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer.*  
*Geo. Surv. Illinois. Palaeontology* Pl 27 fig 1 p 341



Re. Authracomya elongata. I have  
just this matter right in my mono.  
now in press certainly elongated fr. your  
shell & going back to Brown's Williamson  
for mine. Note on p. 101 our joint  
note that the word Authracomya here  
26 has been misplaced, one line  
it should have read Authracomya  
elongata.

I was not aware that with you elongata  
attained such a size as fig 11 in my  
plate. In your original 1868 you give  
the size of the shell & an enlargement.

I am glad to find it is not a new  
species.

I am sorry that mistakes seem to  
have arisen as to name of the figured  
shells figs 9 & 10. I thought I copied  
the names on the labels.



Your letter of the 12<sup>th</sup> Oct with two photographs  
has puzzled me a bit as you seem to  
me to have transposed the names  
of *aiadites culmanni* + *A. elongata*

The spec with spiracles is *Naiadites*

\* The other *Anthracozyga*, according to  
your description figures Salter's &  
my late ones. The position of the  
limb <sup>almost</sup> at once decides this. They  
were terminal in *Anthracozyga*

& some little way along *hugeliana* in  
*Anthracozyga* - and it is *Anthracozyga*  
wh. has been replaced by your *Naiadites*

In your letter of 21<sup>st</sup> you have  
the case of the new *Naiadites* I think  
returned to this view as you label  
evidently an *Anthracozyga* of Salter  
& *aiadites*, *Mytiloides*. This one is  
very like Meek's *Mytilina*  
\* *meliniformis* which is very close to  
the *Anthracozyga* *carinata* now *Naiadites*



of my 1893 paper

Many thanks for the  
interest you are taking in  
this subject.

Wm  
4/19/94

Yours faithfully  
Wm

Outboard  
The little way along  
Outboard  
It has been  
A few letters of  
The case of the  
returned to the  
evidence on  
to visit the  
top the best  
In the  
the

McGILL UNIVERSITY  
ARCHIVES  
ACC. NO. 41372  
REF. 327

with regard to the name  
obtusus. I fancy you were mistaken  
by Ludwig as he published in  
Palaeontographica band viii. 1859.

Unio obtusus. which is  
authentic. vide my paper  
1893 p. 267.

Yours  
W.H.