

We feel
Apr/90
Felder
grumbled

Very truly yours,

W. J. McGee

rather material or if the change in proof had not involved con-
sulting any following person if I had for a moment supposed the
Webster. Still I do not find much fault so lexicographers and
of personal preference nor for any other reason except that it is
not in the Bulletin of the G. S. A. I adopted that form not because
is for the form "powder" on the ground of evident derivation;
your first communication was fully noted. Personally my preference
Your remark concerning the orthography of powder in

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

WASHINGTON, D. C., Apl. 9, 1890.

Dear Sir. William Dawson:

The duplicate proof of the paper by yourself and Professor Penhallow came to hand without unusual delay, and the matter is now in pages and the edition will be distributed during the latter part of this week. Your edition of 200 copies will go to you at the same time, and the bill will follow in due course. To my regret I find an error in the footnote to page 314 referring to an excerpt from Captain Fielden on Grinnell Land. Desiring to verify this reference (as I do in all cases) I sent to the Congressional Library for the Proceedings of the Royal Society for 1878 and failed to find therein any reference to Captain Fielden, or to the present condition of Grinnell Land. Except that great delay in publication would be involved I should hold the matter for you to verify the reference; as it is I work off the edition, but trust that you will look into the matter and at your early convenience after receiving a complete copy correct this error and send such other errata as may strike your eye.

Your remark concerning the orthography of bolwder in your first communication was duly noted. Personally my preference is for the form "boulder" on the ground of evident derivation; but in the Bulletin of the G S A I adopted that form not because of personal preference nor for any other reason except that it is Webster. Still I do not pin much faith to lexicographers, and should have followed Dawson if I had for a moment supposed the matter material, or if the change in proof had not involved considerable cost.

I remain with great respect,

Very truly yours,

WJ McEwen

Sir. William Dawson,

McGill College, Montreal, Canada.