

Dorchester - New Brunswick

25 October 1886

Chandler

Dear Sir

My excuse for again
troubling you with a letter about
mining matters is the conviction that
what I hear to say will interest
you. I presume that the existence
of the White Lake lead has never been
suspected by Mr. Gordon, but unless
I am greatly mistaken the work
being done there has to be the case
of the discovery due solely to the
Echo magnet which I think I
now write to you. I need not dwell
on the importance of such a discovery
to the mining interests of your
native Province. The locality where
it was discovered is an address familiar
to you. It is in the West River
in District No. 1, at a point about
eleven miles above the Town of Delta.

and on the South side of the River
 The Magnet indicates a bed
 of M. thevetus - about a dozen
 of a width that found
 thickness of 25 feet with a few
 feet of parting of Rock in the
 middle of the Bed. The rock is
 a shaft about 20 feet deep with
 no more to be seen of a red
 soft Bar. stone than the first bed
 the ordinary hard brown as
 the water was deposited at the top
 however but the rest of the face
 you will see in the distance
 of the green hills. The Magnet
 is certainly looking for another
 and I shall delay writing this
 until I see further news
 (copy)

Dear Sir
 The steel pen is in the

at Long Millbrook 22 Oct 1886

proposed - get through the first week and on Mon
in a dull business and a lighter one to see
what day at 3 P. M. for possible firm of Dr. Hancock

concern of 11.11.11. get clear water of the
Herald to - check in being on by in ordinary and -
Mr. H. J. to - check in being on by in ordinary and -
Herald this week. I M. Herald. and from within
and more well - plan with the arrangements of the

working of the week. I M. Herald. and from within
week. I M. Herald. and from within
Herald week. I M. Herald. and from within

1881

Yours As
Wm. Mackenzie

quartz in the discovery of the
lead as it is covered by yellow
by yellow rock. No lead
or drift pieces have ever been
~~seen~~ seen.

Nov. 15. 1886

For various reasons I have delayed
sending this - I shall not weary
you by detailing the various steps
of my progress since - but will
mention that the piece of lead
was got on the north of it was a
very clumsy attempt at salting.
We descended with the boiler
to a depth of 55 feet for the
surface when no further progress
by a piece of iron accidentally
getting into the hole - Fortunately
in discharge on the opposite
side of the river another bed
running parallel to the ~~other~~

guide us in the discovery of the
lead on it is even very show
by New Park. A No. 1 lead
in drift pieces have ever been
~~seen~~ seen.

Nov. 15. 1886

For various reasons, I have delayed
sending this - I shall not weary
you by detailing the various steps
of my progress since - but will
mention that the piece of lead
was not attracted & it was a
very clumsy attempt at salting.
We descended with the river
to a depth of 100 feet from the
surface when no further progress was
to be made - a piece of iron accidentally
getting into the hole - Fortunately
in discharge on the opposite
side of the river another bed
running parallel to the

