

Letter to Whitt
24/1/82

My dear son,

Now return the proof
which I have gone over very care-
fully. The corrections are not
very important except that
in changing ephelus into am-
phibian the printer has gone
in a wholesale way even altering
the word ephelus when I am
comparing the animals with
those of substitution "amphibious"
trees for ephelus. I have
made it "fossiliferous".

I am sorry that the
drawings of sections of
bird trees ^{Page 6} have not been
enlarged. They would have
been interesting. I have
never enlarged the
references to them. The copies
of them were not returned with

The prof. of I have duplicates
I shall send them with this, as
care you showed them well to
have them cut. I would pay
for this of course.

The explanation of plates
has not been set up but
this may be as well as
there are several alterations
in numbers of plates &c. I have
revised it to prevent the prints
from being printed. I should see
proof as there are so many
technical terms & numbers.

I send a third note on
Footprints, if at all allowable
I would like it printed, as
it will add very much to the
importance of the paper. It will
make 2 or 3 pages.

I have marked a few
they carry numbers on the plates
& return the copy of them.

Please see that the
specimens sent for the engravers
are taken care of & carefully
repacked as some are unique.