

Auburn N. Y. Dec. 22. 1880

Dear Sir

Your card of the 18th. recd. I was ~~unex~~
possibly vexed at the failure of meeting you
here, but an absence of several days on business
in Syracuse and Ithaca, during the time of your
visit prevented my hearing of your lectures
~~and~~ until after your departure - There is no
Scholar of Canada with whom I would so gladly
have become acquainted - Rev. Dr. Hawley President
of our Historical Society advised me that all
of your works were to be added to our library
and I presume he presented you a copy of his
Early Chapters of Cayuga History, if not I will send
you one - in it you will find a few notes of mine
I have written, and described (in manuscript) over
one thousand Indian town sites in New York, mostly
Iroquois, and have traced the migrations of the
several Indian tribes from the earliest historical
period; in the course of which investigations many
errors have been exposed and much new ma=
terial added - Mr. Gallatin's map of the distribution
of tribes is very incorrect as is also that of our great
Historian Bancroft - I am able to show conclusively
that the Massawomes who all writers confound
with our Iroquois were a distinct Confederacy
occupying the head waters of the Potomac, in the Shen-
andoah and other valleys as far west as Cumberland
and extending north into Penn. They were in four
tribes and estimated in 1632 as having 30 000
souls; these were afterward known as Shawanese
I am also able to show that at about the same
period, the valleys of the Ohio and tributaries were
occupied by Dakota tribes the ancestors of those
now west of the Mississippi, of those the Tutelocs
east of the Alleghennis were an outlying tribe

and with others extended quite to the Atlantic, while on the North west the Winnebagoes marked the limit in that direction. The line on the South appears to have connected with the Atlantic via Kanawha river. The Illinois tribes called them **AKANSEAS** or **AKAMSEAS** under which name you will find them more particularly described as Dakotas. South of the Iroquois 1600 to 1650 were numerous Iroquois tribes extending the entire length of the Susquehanna and quite to the Southern extremity of Chesapeake Bay. These included the Carantians of Champlain, the **SCHAHENTORONONS** at Wyoming, the **ATRAKBAE'R** (or Andastoes, near Shamokin, — the Susquehannas proper and others terminating with the Piscarous. These were called by the French under the generic name of Andastoes and a part by the Hurons and Neutral as **ATTIWANDARONS**. The Carantians, Schahentorons and several others removed to the Ohio, and appear on some early maps as **ATTIWANDARONS**. They properly followed the Dakotas in the retreat down the Ohio. I can't say that I am entirely pleased with your name of **ALLEGHANS** (I have loaned your book to Dr. Hawley and am not certain as to your spelling) but perhaps under the circumstances for the want of a better one, it is admissible. but the root of the word, as has been clearly shown by Dr. Mumbull, is the Algonquin name for the Beautiful River and has the same signification as **O-HEE-YO** in Iroquois, and appears to have been a name given by the Delaware in Algonquin, to express the Iroquois signification — your idea of calling the Ohio tribes **ALLEGHANS**, is precisely the same course adopted by the Illinois and Northern Algonquins in calling the ~~new~~ rivers Ohio, Wabash, Cumberland, **AKANSEAS RIVERS** from the same **AKANSEA** tribes residing on them — except in one case the rivers are named

after the tribes, and in the other the tribes are named after the river -

The area of Iroquois geographical occupation, in 1550-1600 I make nearly double that of Gallatin and Bancroft, extending down the St Lawrence to Quebec, west to Lake Huron and south to the lower Chesapeake and the idea of Bancroft and Parkman that the Iroquois were an island in the midst of a great Algonquin Sea is more poetic than real. I can't see that a single Delaware tribe in 1600 was south of the James, and I fail to see where any were west of the Iroquois -

There was an Iroquois tribe called KONTRANDEEN'S (See Relations 1635 p 33) somewhere in your neighborhood possibly a part of the Hochelugas. I have found no account of them under that name or any other to recognize them. You may be able to account for them. I am unable to see where the Iroquois had made conquest of a single outlying tribe when first known and yet I find nearly all modern writers extending their conquests back to the earliest dates. It as appears to me to be clear, that you have established an alliance or confederation of Iroquois tribes on the St Lawrence, then they were entirely surrounded when first known by confederacies quite as strong and numerous as their own, and not until the Dutch muskets made such a thing possible did their conquests begin - They had wars the one with the other, but I fail to see where the subjugated tribes were located - Possibly you may find my hastily penned notes worth reading, if so I have repaid in part the debt I owe for your Hochelugas -

Very respectfully

Your obt servant

J. W. Dawson LL.D.

John S. Clark

Montreal, Canada

after the trial, and in the latter the trial is named
 after the trial -
 The area of program development is 1880
 1100 of more nearly level than of latitude and
 1800 of more nearly level than of latitude and
 west to the horizon and south to the horizon
 and the area of latitude and longitude that the
 program was an island in the middle of a great
 ocean. It is more fact than fact.
 I want to say that a single volume takes in 100
 was worth of the same, and I feel to be sure any
 was worth of the program -

Clark
 Huber
 Jess

There was an program like called KONTRANDEN'S
 (in relation 1882 p 33) somewhere in your neighborhood
 possibly a part of the Holbein of your friends
 accounts of them seem that there is any other to
 regarding them. you may be able to account for them.
 I can't remember to see where the program's but made
 component of a single cutting into when first there
 come out of your mind all manner into cutting
 this program back to the earliest state - of an
 appears to me to be clear that you have not
 an edition or configuration of program titles in
 The 2d volume, then they are entirely
 later first known by configuration quite as being
 but numbers as they were, and not until the
 later months were such a thing possible and
 their program began - they had been the one with
 the other, but I feel to see where the program's
 titles are located - possibly you may find my
 nearly found with nothing of so I have
 appear in fact the list I use for your Holbein
 very respectfully
 your old friend

John B. Clark

Wm. H. ...
 Mr. ...