

Acqueceur,  
Columbus O Dec 21<sup>st</sup> 20

Prof. J. W. Dawson Montreal

My dear Sir,

I do not see that my quotation in *Flora* p. 312 is not a true representation of your meaning as expressed in the description of *Cyclopteris Brownii*. I had to give merely an abstract of it as I did for many others. For the good reason that for a *Flora* which I may call elementary, or a mere exposition of the numerous observations on our species of the Carboniferous, I could not always enter into details. I am however sorry to have incurred your disapprobation on this subject and shall endeavour, as soon as opportunity is afforded to me to expose your views in full light.

In the plant figured in the Penn. Report I can see nothing whatever of the character of a gymnospermous plant and from the Carboniferous specimens figured in the Atlas Pl. XVII f. 1. & f. 5 of this I have very large leaves whose subdivisions and nervature are analogous to the plant of the Penn. Report,

and still more perhaps from J. S. G. ~~the~~  
I find the relation of plants of the Island  
to that as yet uncertain and unknown genus  
Pterophyllum or to ferns. For these reasons  
I could not preserve the genus Cyclopterus  
and as I am not certain of the identity, ~~with~~  
your plant, I described <sup>mine</sup> as it has been done,  
remarking on your previous description and  
therefore giving to you the credit of priority  
in case these species should prove identical.

If these explanations are not satisfactory,  
please to let me know in what way I may  
make amends for what you consider  
error of mine and I shall be pleased to  
act according to your wishes.

Very sincerely and respectfully yours  
S. S. Greeney

No additions, not even a correction,  
perhaps not even a list of errata are allowed  
to me for the text of the flora, as it is now  
plated. I do not even know why the  
printing is not progressing or ended



as the printer does not answer my questions on the subject. I have been allowed the correction of the galley proof and of one set only of page proof and nothing more. A full copy of the plated proof was sent to me for revision and on the corrections made, I was advised that probably the corrections could not be made at least none of those which would necessitate the breaking of the plates. But perhaps there will be a second volume, for plates especially as scarcely half the described species are represented in the Atlas and for this I should have full opportunity to correct errors or misstatements.

If I am allowed to make a list of

This would I think put matters in the true light. — It would be well however to know if the specimens you saw in Prof. W. Rogers are the same as those given to Prof. Balaban by his brother.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY  
 ARCHIVES  
 ACC. NO. 2211/73  
 REF. 3

as the former does not  
 present in the subject.  
 allows the insertion of the following  
 and of our list of papers  
 nothing more. I full copy of the  
 proof was sent to me for correction and  
 a correction made, I was advised  
 that probably the correction would not  
 be made at last year of the  
 which would be the printing of the  
 paper. But perhaps there will be  
 a second volume for plates especially  
 which half the number given are  
 represented in the other and for this  
 I should have full opportunity to  
 correct errors in my list.  
 I am obliged to make a list of

to know if the program you have in Prof. W. P. ...  
 as the same is then given to Prof. ...  
 the blue light. It would be well known  
 in the month of March last winter in