


Dawkins  
at 1/20

Union Club Boston,  
8 Park Street.



16 Nov. 1900

Dear Sir,

I regret that I am unable  
to run to Montreal, and discuss  
classification with you - but alas

I am bound sooner or a quart  
after home -

I am unable to consider the  
-ice understood glacial phenomena  
as of value in geologic classification  
-ation because they are purely

into a island: but it was not a glacial outflow,  
and the center of Banks, and the center and west of  
N. America (to say the least) were geosynclinal;  
what they were before, is the <sup>date</sup> I think we see -

However I shall be over pleased to see what  
you write on the other side.

Could you help me to purchase a collection of  
the specimens of the Strombolite rocks? Both  
Prof. Hovey and myself are anxious to get a

local, and do not separate  
one fauna from another either  
in the new or the old world,  
and prefer to stick to the same  
biological standard as that by  
which all other geological  
divisions are judged.

Now can I see any proof of the  
late Pleistocene deposits of either  
continent being separated from  
the deposits which I have termed  
prehistoric by 'a glacial subsidence'.

If there was a subsidence of Bular  
to the extent of covered, it

few types for comparison  
with our pre-Cambrian series.

I am  
Dear Sir

Yours truly

W. B. D. Dawkins

Dr. Dawson M.S.