

Copy to Hinde

M. Gill College.  
Montreal.

Dec 31, 1897

If you have not  
kept duplicates to  
correspond with the  
specimens y r s I can  
send you pieces of  
them to identify them  
by. Your other specimens  
appear to belong to the  
ordinary species. No. 4 has  
I think some around tubes  
of *Spongopora* which constitute  
the "oscula" of *Nicholia* which  
so far as I can make out  
are in every case accidental.

Perhaps you may have  
obtained some new facts about  
these curious fossils within the  
last two years; and if so I  
shall be glad to know.

Truly yours

Serge J. Hinde &

M. D. Dawson

My dear Sir  
On looking at  
the date of your letter  
accompanying the specimens  
of *Stomatopora* you so  
kindly sent me nearly  
two years ago I find  
reason to apologise for  
my long delay in studying  
them. I have on this  
evening pushed the ex-  
amination of the large  
series of specimens from  
Canada U.S. & Europe  
in my hands, and

hope this writes to give  
a rational account of  
the group in connection  
with my former work.

Among your specimens  
one, No 8, from Port Colborne  
and not named by you,  
seems to be a new  
species of Nicholson's genus  
~~Stromatopora~~ Dictyostroma,  
and I shall be glad to  
name it after you, unless  
you have any other  
name to suggest or  
know of any one having  
described it since Nicholson

mentioned in Ohio Report  
Vol II, where he only  
knew of one species.

Another of your specimens  
No 7, not named &  
credited to Comiferous  
Ohio U.S. is undoubtedly  
Nicholson's Stromatopora  
Columnaris of the Ohio  
Report, and it shows  
what his specimen does  
not the radiation  
frankness of the  
horizontal system of  
canals.