

Why do you
I send you the
St. John's Telegraph concerning
Part I of my paper on the
"Base Waterfall" - Part II
will come in soon.
You should get the Section
across the Fathoms showing
the old Channel - they are
disappointed -
I am not intended to
change my views about
the Submergence fault
in Cambridge Bay -

Wish the evidence that these are
said to be incontestable and I
had been right - again you do not
look upon the Project of Guatemala
Basin etc. - I think it is Comparatively
Recent. Not much older than the
Drift of any - There are traces of
curious fact coming to light - and
I may visit Montreal this Winter
and shall have hope an opportunity
of talking with you about them.

I see you are feary - (whose
Report, by the way, I admire more and
more I study it - as the type of a Report) -
I puzzled like myself about
Bell's changing the name - the Guapelle
River above the Forks to that of
"Big Arca River" - You then says "This
is probably also the locality described by Prof.
Bull" - if Bull had called the River the
Guapelle, as every body else did - instead of
changing its name to "Big Arca River" - he
would not have doubted - The thing

Prof Hind

sends me your letter regarding
 William Logan letter to Selwyn.
 The report of Progress for 1869 -
 I have read of your new
 book - In a publication from
 New York will in due time receive
 alluded to your Sons Report
 in Type of what we want about
 North West - R. P. R. R. surveys
 give us much information -
 your truly
 H. Dawson

Mr. John Telegraph concerning
 Party of John Logan at the
 "Base of the West" - Part II
 which comes under the
 name of Logan and the section
 known as Logan's Survey
 the name of Logan - they are
 not what you -
 I am not what you -
 change the name about
 the name of Logan
 a Church of the West