305 CM7

CHAPTER X

Vanata SPECIAL CAUSES

Temperance
Poor Law Reform
Town Gardens
Agricultural Co-operation
Humane Slaughter
Housing

CHAPTER X

SPECIAL CAUSES

Temperance

If I am to report on the motives which have led to public work in my life, I should say that I am indebted to the desire which was fostered by the example of my parents and of the Liberator to promote social order and to relieve social suffering. The first of these which roused me arose from my being in the brewing trade. I was conscious of course, even before going into the business, of the debatable question whather it was a business that a would-be Christian should enter at all. Having, largely by Father's advice. decided that it was a trade, like many others, only Adesirable in the comparatively small section of it which represented laxity, I still felt that the problem of Licencing Reform was the proper business of the members of the trade. I was particularly stirred through being constantly in the least orderly quarter of London, where in the 1890's drunkenness was common, and degraded specimens of both sexes could sometimes be seen in certain streets hopelessly boozed and attacking eachother with broken bottles.

I naturally deplored the regrettable character of a large class of English public houses. I was very much taken with the idea of disinterested management compared with the corresponding houses of the Continent. There

was much talk at that time of the Gothenburg system, under which the manager of the licenced house had no interest in the sale of intoxicants. An opportunity of studying this system came in 1895 when the family went to Australia, C.R.B. and I had friends to visit in Scandinavia and Denmark. We made for Stockholm and Gothenburg.

I afterwards induced the Board of the Brewery to make the experiment in certain public houses, of giving a commission to the manager on the sale of non-alcoholics and food. I wrote an article on the results, and in order to secure attention and avoid the charge of bias I persuaded Mr. Charles Booth, whose fame as the author of "Life and Labour in London" was then at its height, to publish the article with a preface by himself and without my name.

I tookd part afterwards in two movements for experimenting in disinterested management on a large scale, originally promoted by the Bishop of Chester, and afterwards by Lord Lytton. The first was the People's Refreshment House Association, the second the Public House Trust Association.

Poor-Law Reform

Another cause for which I worked arose from my becoming a Poor-Law Guardian for Whitechapel. This was to introduce a system for dealing with vagrancy, which would provide both a decent of ortunity for those who really wanted work, and squeeze out those who did not, by leading the public not to give to vagrants. A great deal had been done in this direction in Germany, and in 1897 I went to study the system there, afterwards pushing the plan at Poor Law conferences.

Town Gardening

This was an interest implanted by Rollo Meyer, and an attractive one to pursue, all the more when Lucy came on the scene, and became leader of the movement. Even the slums of Spitalfields lost their unrelieved gloom when a window-box appeared in a sunless court. When I had Lucy's help that movement was enlarged to all London in the London Gardens Guild, with the help of Herbert Stead of the Browing Settlement. It even had a settlement of its own in Walworth, with the Secretary of the Guild as Warden. The final competitions were judged by Ministers of Agriculture.

Agricultural Co-operation

Another aspiration was followed up after I left business in 1904 and had lost my seat in Parliament in 1905. It was the cause of co-operation in agriculture. In this I was prompted - as in the cause of gardening for urban workers - by Rollo Meyer, who in the neighbourhood of his parish in Bedfordshire had seen the urgent need, both social and economic, of co-operation for the small growers in buying supplies and also in selling their products, and had conferred benefits on them by organising co-operative societies on the continental model. I was a keen member of the Committee of the Agricultural Organisation Society, and in our neighbourhood I founded the Epping Society, holding a meeting in the dining room at Warlies at which the Copt Hall agent, Mr. Ormond, was elected chairman.

Humane Slaughter

Among other aspirations I ought to record two which followed the Great War. One was the cause of humane slaughter of animals. I introduced a bill in the House, and visited slaughter houses, both reformed and unreformed, in London, Brighton, and Cambridge. I also went to Rotterdam to see the system in vogue there. My bill made no concession to the Jews, whose system I consider cruel, though better than the pole-axing of cattle, and I was naturally the object

146

of a violent campaign in the Jewish press. I was specially unpopular with a Jewish M.P., who had to sit up every night at the end of parliamentary proceedings to "block" my Bill (which is done by saying "I object" when the titles of the bills are read out). I was ruthless to the poor man, having seen the Jewish method of slaughter. My bill never reached debate in the House, and afterwards, when another bill was passed, the Jews obtained exemption. But we cannot be too thankful that most animals are now humanely killed.

Housing.

The other reform which moved me in recent times was that of housing. I made several speeches in the Lords on the subject, and I have found nothing more compelling that the one-room dwellings which I have seen in such large numbers. I wish I could have done more in the matter, but it naturally belongs to members of the L.C.C. and other great municipal bodies. There is nothing that a Christian can feel more obviously contrary to any idea of the will of God than the intolerable limitations of life due to crowded housing.

Diplo Cale

Chot X

CHAPTER XI CAUSES

Poor Law Reform
Town Sarden

Agricultural Co-operation

Howane Slaughter.

Howang

Parsieus 140

CAUSES.

TEMPERANCE REFORM.

The parents taught us fundamental principles like avoiding injury to others, but if I am to report on the motives which have led to any efforts in my life, I indicate the denne should say that I am indebted to a certain capacity for Corial orders relieve forme suffering, which wenton keen desires of an altruistic kind. The first of these which seized me arose from my being in the brewing trade. was conscious of course even before going into the business of the debatable question whether it was a business that & would-be Obrishan ore should enter at all. Having, largely by Father's advice, decided that it was a trade, like many others, only undesirable in the comparatively small section of it which represented laxity, I still felt that the problem of Licensing Reform was the proper business of the members of the trade. I was particularly stirred through being constantly in the least orderly quarter of London, where in the 1890s drunkenness was common, and degraded specimens of both sexes could sometimes be seen in certain streets hopelessly boozed and attacking each other with broken bottles.

management of the public house, and naturally deplored
the regrettable character of a large class of English public house
compared with the corresponding houses of the Continent,

Various

There was much talk at that time of the Swedish system, under which the manager of the licensed house had no interest in the sale of intoxicants. Am opportunity of studying this system came when the family went to Australia, and C.R.B. and I went abroad. We made for personal stockholm and Gothenburg on the day after their departure.

I afterwards induced the Board of the Brewery to make the letter experiment with certain public houses in which a firm commission was given to the manager on the sale of non-alcoholics and food. I wrote an article on the results, and in order to secure attention and avoid the appearance of bias I persuaded Mr. Charles Booth, whose fame as the author of "Life and Labour in London" was then at its height, to publish the article with a preface by himself and without my name.

I took part afterwards in two movements for experimenting in disinterested management on a large scale, and originally promoted by the Bishop of Chester, and afterwards by Lord Lytton. The first was the People's Refreshment House Association, the second the Public House Trust Association.

Town pardening. This was an profesest implanting by Rollo heyer, + an athachie one to pume, all the lever When frey came on the Prene + became leader of The movement the steins of Spritalfield Nort Min unrelieved floom when a window bose appeared in a strilen copy when I Enlarged for all found is? of its own in Walworth. ar Warden. The final competitions were judged to ministers things of agriculture.

Another keen aspiration arose from my becoming a Poor Law Guardian for Whitechapel. This was to introduce a system for dealing with vagrancy, which would both provide a decent opportunity for those who wanted work, and by leading the public not h give to vagrant. squeeze out those who did not. A great deal had been done in this direction in Germany, and in 1897 I went to study the system there, afterwards pushing the plan at Poor Law conferences.

AGRICULTURAL CO-OPERATION.

Another aspiration was followed up after I left business in 1904 and had lost my seat in Parliament in 1905. It was the cause of co-operation in agriculture. this I was prompted - as in the cause of gardening for urban workers - by Rollo Meyer, who in the neighbourhood of his parish in Bedfordshire had seen the urgent need, both social and economic, of co-operation for the small growers in buying supplies and also in selling their products, and had conferred benefits on them by organizing co-operative societies on the continental model. I was a keen member of the committee of the Agricultural Organization Society, and in our own neighbourhood I founded the Epping Society, holding a meeting in the dining room at warlies at which the copt Hall agent, Mr. Urmond, was elected chairman.

HUMANE SLAUGHTER.

Among other aspirations I ought to record two which followed the Great War. One was the cause of humane slaughter of animals. I introduced a bill in the House, and visited the slaughter houses, both reformed and in London Brighton + Cambridge unreformed. I also went to Rotterdam to see the system in vogue there. My bill made no concession to the news, whose system 1 consider very much too cruel, and I was naturally the object of a violent campaign in the Jewish Press. I was specially unpopular with a Jewish M.P., who had to sit up every night at the end of parliamentary 1 which is done proceedings to block my Bill by saying "I object" when the titles of the bills were read out. I was ruthless to the poor man, having seen the Jewish method of slaughter. Im bill never reaching detate in the House, o afterwards, when another bill was passed, the Jews obtained But we cannot be too thankful that most exemption. Janimals are now humanaly killed. Odious as mitler's anti-Jewish policy is, a cannot shed tears over his prohibition of Jewish ritual slaughter.

HOUSING.

The other reform which moved me in recent times was that of housing. I made several speeches in the Lords on the subject, and I have found nothing more compelling than the one-room dwellings which I have seen in such large numbers. I wish I could have done.

more in the matter, but it naturally belongs to members of the L.C.C. and other great municipal bodies. There is nothing that a Christian who knows the intolerable limitations of life due to crowded housing can feel

more flatly contrary to any idea of the Will of God,

ch XI. Causes

CHAPTER XI CAUSES

TEMPERANCE REFORM.

The parents taught us fundamental principles like avoiding injury to others, but if I am to report on the motives which have led to any efforts in my life. I should say that I am indebted to a certain capacity for keen desires of an altruistic kind. The first of these which seized me arose from my being in the brewing trade. I was conscious of wourse even before going into the business of the debatable question whether it was a business that one should enter at all. Having, largely by Father's advice, decided that it was a trade, like many others, only undesirable in the comparatively small section of it which represented laxity, I still felt that the problem of Licensing Reform was the proper business of the members of the trade. I was particularly stirred through being constantly in the least orderly quarter of London, where in the 1890s drunkenness was common, and degraded specimens of both sexes could sometimes be seen in certain streets hopelessly boozed and attacking each other with broken bottles.

I was very much taken with the idea of disinterested management of the public house, and naturally deplored the regrettable character of a large class of English pubs compared with the corresponding houses of the Continent.

There was much talk at that time of the Swedish system, under which the manager of the licensed house had no interest in the sale of intoxicants. Am opportunity of studying this system came when the family went to australia, and C.R.B. and I went abroad. We made for Stockholm and Gothenburg on the day after their departure.

let me experiment with certain public houses in which a commission was given to the manager on the sale of non-alcoholics and food. I wrote an article on the results, and in order to secure attention and avoid the appearance of bias I persuaded Mr. Charles Booth, whose fame as the author of "Life and Labour in London" was then at its height, to publish the article with a preface by himself and without my name.

I took part afterwards in two movements for experimenting in disinterested management on a large scale, and originally promoted by the Bishop of Chester, and afterwards by Lord Lytton. The first was the People's Refreshment House Association, the second the Public House Trust Association.

POOR LAW REFORM.

Another keen aspiration arose from my becoming a Poor
Law Guardian for Whitechapel. This was to introduce a
system for dealing with vagrancy, which would both provide
a decent opportunity for those who wanted work, and
squeeze out those who did not. A great deal had been done
in this direction in Germany, and in 1897 I went to study
the system there, afterwards pushing the plan at Poor Law
conferences.

AGRIGULTURAL CO-OPERATION.

Another aspiration was followed up after I left business in 1904 and had lost my seat in Parliament in 1905.

It was the cause of co-operation in agriculture. In this I was prompted - as in the cause of gardening for urban workers - by Rollo Meyer, who in the neighbourhood of his parish in Bedfordshire had seen the urgent need, both social and economic, of co-operation for the small growers in buying supplies and also in selling their products, and had conferred benefits on them by organizing co-operative societies on the continental model. I was a keen member of the Committee of the Agricultural organization Society, and in our own neighbourhood I founded the Epping Society, holding a meeting in the dining room at Warlies at which the Copt Hall agent, Mr. Ormond, was elected chairman.

HUMANE SLAUGHTER.

Among other aspirations I ought to record two which followed the Great War. One was the cause of humane slaughter of animals. I introduced a bill in the House, and visited the slaughter houses, both reformed and unreformed. I also went to Rotterdam to see the system in vogue there. My bill made no concession to the Jews, whose system I consider very much too cruel, and I was naturally the object of a violent campaign in the Jewish Press. I was specially unpopular with a Jewish M.P., who had to sit up every night at the end of parliamentary proceedings to block my Bill by saying "I object" when the titles of the bills were read out. I was ruthless to the poor man, having seen the Jewish method of slaughter. Afterwards, when another bill was passed, the Jews obtained exemption.

Odious as Hitler's anti-Jewish policy is, I cannot shed tears over his prohibition of Jewish ritual slaughter.

HOUSING.

The other reform which moved me in recent times was that of housing. I made several speeches in the Lords on the subject, and I have found nothing more compelling than the one-room dwellings which I have seen in such large numbers. I wish I could have done

more in the matter, but it naturally belongs to members of the L.C.C. and other great municipal bodies. There is nothing that a Christian who knows the intolerable limitations of life due to crowded housing can feel more flatly contrary to any idea of the Will of God.

CHAPTER XI CAUSES

TEMPERANCH REFORM.

The parents taught us fundamental principles like avoiding infury to others, but if I am to report on the motives which have led to any efforts in my life, I should say that I am indebted to a certain capacity for keen desires of an altruistic kind. The first of these which seized me arose from my being in the brewing trade. I was conscious of course even before going into the business of the debatable question whether it was a business that one should enter at all. Having, largely by Father's advice, decided that it was a trade, like many others, only undesirable in the comparatively small section of it which represented laxity, I still felt that the problem of Licensing Reform was the proper business of the members of the trade. I was particularly stirred through being constantly in the least orderly quarter of London, where in the 1890s drunkenness was common, and degraded specimens of both sexes could sometimes be seen in dertain streets hopelessly boosed and attacking each other with broken bottles.

I was very much taken with the idea of disinterested management of the public house, and naturally deplored the regrettable character of a large class of English pubs compared with the corresponding houses of the Continent.

There was much talk at that time of the Swedish system, under which the manager of the licensed house had no interest in the cale of intexicants. An opportunity of studying this system came when the family went to australia, and C.R.B. and I went abroad. We made for stockholm and Gothenburg on the day after their departure.

let me experiment with certain public houses in which a commission was given to the manager on the sale of non-alcoholics and food. I wrote an article on the results, and is order to secure attention and avoid the appearance of bias I persuaded Mr. Charles Booth, whose fame as the author of "Life and Labour in London" was then at its height, to publish the article with a preface by himself and without my name.

I took part afterwards in two movements for experimenting in disinterested management on a large scale, and originally promoted by the Bishop of Chester, and afterwards by Lord Lycton. The first was the People's Refreshmen's House Association, the second the Public House Trust Association.

POOR LAW REFORM.

Law Guardian for whitechapel. This was to introduce a system for dealing with vagrancy, which would both provide a decent opportunity for those who wanted work, and squeeze out those who did not. A great deal had been done in this direction in Germany, and in 1897 I went to study the system there, afterwards pushing the plan at Foor Law conferences.

AGRICULTURAL CO-OPERATION.

Another aspiration was followed up after I left business in 1904 and had lost my seat in rerliament in 1905.

It was the cause of co-operation in agriculture. In this I was prompted - as in the cause of gardening for urban workers - by Rollo meyer, who in the neighbourhood of his parish in Bedfordshire had seen the urgent need, both social and economic, of co-operation for the small growers in buying supplies and also in selling their products, and had conferred benefits on them by organizing co-operative societies on the continental model. I was a keen member of the Committee of the Agricultural Organization Society, and in our own neighbourhood I founded the Epping Society, holding a meeting in the dining room at warlies at which the Copt Hall agent, Mr. Ormond,

was elected chairman.

HUMANE SLAUGHTER.

Among other aspirations I ought to record two which followed the Great War. One was the cause of humane slaughter of animuls. I introduced a bill in the mouse. and visited the slaughter houses, both reformed and unreformed. I also went to kotterdam to see the system in vocas there. My bill made no concession to the Jews. whose system I consider very much too cruel, and I was naturally the object of a vaolent campaign in the Jewish Press. I was specially unpopular with a Jewish M.P., who had to sit up every night at the end of parliamentary proceedings to block my Bill by saying "I object" when the titles of the bills were read out. I was ruthless to the poor man, having seen the Jewish method of slaughter. Afterwards, when another bill was passed, the Jews obtained exemption.

Odious as mitler's anti-Jewish policy is, I cannot shed tears over his prohibition of Jewish ritual slaughter.

HOUSING.

The other reform which moved me in recent times was that of housing. I made several speeches in the Lords on the subject, and I have found nothing more compelling than the one-room dwellings which I have seen in such large numbers. I wish I could have done

more in the matter, but it naturally belongs to members of the L.C.C. and other great municipal bodies. There is nothing that a Christian who knows the intolerable limitations of life due to crowded housing can feel more flatly contrary to any idea of the Will of God.