



Halifax, 30th April, 1895.

Sir William Dawson,  
MONTREAL.

Dear Sir:

*W. Dawson*  
*1895*  
*Apr 30*  
*Halifax*

I beg to thank you for the receipt of a paper from you a few weeks ago and especially for your paper replying to the very ungenerous criticism of Dr. Honeyman. I am also sorry to know that the paper was refused publication in our transactions. Of course my residence in Picton left me in complete ignorance of what was going on in the Council of the Institute of these days; and your paper was quite a little revelation to me. Your paper has to go into my bound copy of the Transaction following the papers it criticizes. I spent a fortnight on the Nictaux last fall as my holiday time; and went into the study of the region with out anything more than the most preliminary glance at what had been done, relying ~~on the work of Dr. Honeyman~~ on, as a basis, your Acadian Geology and Dr. Honeyman's articles. You can readily understand how interesting your paper was to me under the circumstances. In fact, I was very much hurt by some of the Dr.'s peculiar allusions to the work done before him - both the tone and the total inadequacy of the occasion were so conspicuously unfair. I, of course, know practically nothing of the paleontology of the region, and my studies so far have been only introductory, but very interesting

With my very best thanks,  
I am, Yours very truly,

*A. H. Murray*

McGILL UNIVERSITY  
LIBRARY  
NO. 1463



Halifax, 30th April, 1885.

Sir William Dawson,  
MONTREAL.

Dear Sir:

I beg to thank you for the receipt of a paper from you a few weeks ago and especially for your paper replying to the very ungenerous criticism of Dr. Honeyman. I am also sorry to know that the paper was referred publication in our transactions. Of course my residence in Piccadilly left me in complete ignorance of what was going on in the Council of the Institute of these days; and your paper was quite a little revelation to me. Your paper has to go into my bound copy of the Transactions following the papers it criticizes. I spent a fortnight on the Niagara last fall as my holiday time; and went into the study of the region without anything more than the most preliminary glance at what had been done, relying ~~on a basal~~ on as a basal, your Academic Geology and Dr. Honeyman's articles. You can readily understand how interesting your paper was to me under the circumstances. In fact, I was very much hurt by some of the Dr.'s peculiar allusions to the work done before him - both the tone and the total inadequacy of the occasion were so conspicuously manifest. I, of course, know practically nothing of the geology of the region, and my studies so far have been only in history but very interesting.

With my very best thanks,  
I am, Yours very truly,  
D. McHenry

*D. McHenry*  
*May 1885*  
*Prof*

McGILL UNIVERSITY
ARCHIVES
ACC. NO. 1463
REF. 397